

## CITY GROWTH AND REGENERATION COMMITTEE

Subje	ect:	East Belfast Translink Depot – Respons Infrastructure	se from Department for	
Date:		5th June, 2019		
Reporting Officer:		Henry Downey, Democratic Services Officer, ext. 6311		
Conta	act Officer:	Henry Downey, Democratic Services Office	er, ext. 6311	
Restr	icted Reports			
Is this report restricted?				
	If Yes, when will the	report become unrestricted?		
	After Committe	e Decision		
	After Council D	ecision		
Sometime in the future				
	Never			
Call is	•			
Call-in				
Is the	decision eligible for	Call-in?	Yes X No	
1.0	Purpose of Report	/Summary of Main Issues		
1.1	To bring to the Cor	nmittee's attention a response from the Dep	partment for Infrastructure to	
	the Council's corre	spondence in relation to the East Belfast T	ranslink Depot, following the	
	Council meeting on	4th March.		
2.0	Recommendation			
2.1	The Committee is r	equested to note the response and to take	such action thereon as may	
	be determined.			
3.0	Main Report			
	Key Issues			
3.1	The Committee will recall that, at its meeting on 10th October, 2018, it granted approval for officers to meet with Translink representatives to discuss a potential site search that could accommodate any future relocation of the East Belfast Translink Depot. On 13th February the Committee noted, as part of a report providing an update on infrastructure and transportissues, that Translink had agreed, in principle, to work collaboratively with the Council in			

Council to provide that officers enter into discussions also with representatives of Department for Infrastructure, with a view to identifying other viable locations for the diprior to proceeding with the study.  3.3 A letter was forwarded subsequently to the Department for Infrastructure and a responsive from Ms. S. Kerr, Director, Public Transport Division, is attached.  3.4 Ms. Kerr explains that, at present, Translink has no plans to relocate the East Be Translink Depot and points out that it continues to play a central role in delivering unpublic transport, as it is ideally based to keep operational costs to a minimum. However Department for Infrastructure would, she states, not rule out the relocation of the dep the longer term but would need reassurance that an alternative site would offer a sir level of efficiency and cost effectiveness and could be achieved with no financial detring to Translink. She adds that she is aware that the Council has commissioned a studies examine alternative sites within the City and that, should the objective be to identify real options for further consideration by Translink, those issues would need to be addressed.  3.5 Ms. Kerr concludes by stating that she would be happy to meet with Council represental to discuss the matter further. It should be noted that Dfl will be presenting its Annual Sp. Report at a future meeting of the City Growth and Regeneration Committee (date to confirmed) and it is proposed that the Committee discuss the matter with Dfl in more definited.  3.6 It should be noted also that the Council has not yet commissioned the study to examilaternative sites within the City which would meet the requirements of Dfl and Translet alternative sites within the City which would meet the requirements of Dfl and Translet.		terms of a feasibility exercise to identify potential sites that could deliver their operational and logistical needs.		
from Ms. S. Kerr, Director, Public Transport Division, is attached.  Ms. Kerr explains that, at present, Translink has no plans to relocate the East Be Translink Depot and points out that it continues to play a central role in delivering un public transport, as it is ideally based to keep operational costs to a minimum. However Department for Infrastructure would, she states, not rule out the relocation of the dep the longer term but would need reassurance that an alternative site would offer a sir level of efficiency and cost effectiveness and could be achieved with no financial detrir to Translink. She adds that she is aware that the Council has commissioned a stude examine alternative sites within the City and that, should the objective be to identify real options for further consideration by Translink, those issues would need to be addressed.  Ms. Kerr concludes by stating that she would be happy to meet with Council represental to discuss the matter further. It should be noted that Dfl will be presenting its Annual Sp. Report at a future meeting of the City Growth and Regeneration Committee (date to confirmed) and it is proposed that the Committee discuss the matter with Dfl in more de if required.  It should be noted also that the Council has not yet commissioned the study to exar alternative sites within the City which would meet the requirements of Dfl and Trans Approval to undertake the study would be required from the Committee and the Council Financial and Resource Implications  None.  Equality or Good Relations Implications/Rural Needs Assessment  None.	3.2	The Committee's decision to note that information had, on 4th March, been amended by the Council to provide that officers enter into discussions also with representatives of the Department for Infrastructure, with a view to identifying other viable locations for the depot prior to proceeding with the study.		
Translink Depot and points out that it continues to play a central role in delivering upublic transport, as it is ideally based to keep operational costs to a minimum. However Department for Infrastructure would, she states, not rule out the relocation of the dep the longer term but would need reassurance that an alternative site would offer a sir level of efficiency and cost effectiveness and could be achieved with no financial detrir to Translink. She adds that she is aware that the Council has commissioned a stude examine alternative sites within the City and that, should the objective be to identify real options for further consideration by Translink, those issues would need to be addressed.  3.5 Ms. Kerr concludes by stating that she would be happy to meet with Council represental to discuss the matter further. It should be noted that Dfl will be presenting its Annual Sp. Report at a future meeting of the City Growth and Regeneration Committee (date to confirmed) and it is proposed that the Committee discuss the matter with Dfl in more defined if required.  3.6 It should be noted also that the Council has not yet commissioned the study to example alternative sites within the City which would meet the requirements of Dfl and Trans Approval to undertake the study would be required from the Committee and the Council Financial and Resource Implications  None.  Financial and Resource Implications/Rural Needs Assessment  None.	3.3	A letter was forwarded subsequently to the Department for Infrastructure and a response from Ms. S. Kerr, Director, Public Transport Division, is attached.		
to discuss the matter further. It should be noted that Dfl will be presenting its Annual Sp Report at a future meeting of the City Growth and Regeneration Committee (date to confirmed) and it is proposed that the Committee discuss the matter with Dfl in more do if required.  3.6 It should be noted also that the Council has not yet commissioned the study to exar alternative sites within the City which would meet the requirements of Dfl and Trans Approval to undertake the study would be required from the Committee and the Council Financial and Resource Implications  3.7 None.  Equality or Good Relations Implications/Rural Needs Assessment  None.	3.4	Ms. Kerr explains that, at present, Translink has no plans to relocate the East Belfast Translink Depot and points out that it continues to play a central role in delivering urban public transport, as it is ideally based to keep operational costs to a minimum. However, the Department for Infrastructure would, she states, not rule out the relocation of the depot in the longer term but would need reassurance that an alternative site would offer a similar level of efficiency and cost effectiveness and could be achieved with no financial detriment to Translink. She adds that she is aware that the Council has commissioned a study to examine alternative sites within the City and that, should the objective be to identify realistic options for further consideration by Translink, those issues would need to be fully addressed.		
alternative sites within the City which would meet the requirements of Dfl and Trans Approval to undertake the study would be required from the Committee and the Counce Financial and Resource Implications  None.  Equality or Good Relations Implications/Rural Needs Assessment  None.	3.5	Ms. Kerr concludes by stating that she would be happy to meet with Council representatives to discuss the matter further. It should be noted that Dfl will be presenting its Annual Spring Report at a future meeting of the City Growth and Regeneration Committee (date to be confirmed) and it is proposed that the Committee discuss the matter with Dfl in more detail, if required.		
3.7 None.  Equality or Good Relations Implications/Rural Needs Assessment None.	3.6	It should be noted also that the Council has not yet commissioned the study to examine alternative sites within the City which would meet the requirements of Dfl and Translink. Approval to undertake the study would be required from the Committee and the Council.		
Equality or Good Relations Implications/Rural Needs Assessment  None.		Financial and Resource Implications		
3.8 None.	3.7	None.		
		Equality or Good Relations Implications/Rural Needs Assessment		
4.0 Documents Attached	3.8	None.		
	4.0	Documents Attached		
Response from Dfl		Response from DfI		